YouGov

GXY26062275_ANMFSA_VoterSurvey_2021

Question type: **Rank** #row order: randomize #min number of choices: 3 [Varlabel - Ranking of issues]

[A5] When you're choosing who to vote for in the next federal election, how important is each of the following issues? Please rank the most important issue for you personally first, the second most important issue second, and so on.

You must rank at least three issues.

<1>	Aged care	<8>	The cost of living
<2>	Health/ hospitals	<9>	Childcare
<3>	Climate change/ the environment	<10>	Covid-19
<4>	Education/ schools	<11>	Public transport
<5>	Roads/ traffic	<12>	Housing
<6>	The economy	<13>	Crime
<7>	Jobs	<14>	Privatisation of public services

Not Sure

Question type: Dyngrid #row order: randomize #column order: reverse [Varlabel - Views towards professionals]

[ANMF_1] Do you have a positive or negative view of the following professionals?



Question type: **Dyngrid** #row order: randomize [Varlabel - Views towards SA hospitals]

[ANMF_2] What do you think about the following in your part of South Australia?

-[ANMF_2_1] -[ANMF_2_2] -[ANMF_2_3] -[ANMF_2_4] -[ANMF_2_5] -[ANMF_2_6] -[ANMF_2_6] -[ANMF_2_8] -[ANMF_2_9] <1> <2> <3> <4> <5> <6> <7>	The number of nurses to patients (ratio) in public hospital wards Waiting times to get hospital treatment in an emergency Waiting times for non-urgent surgery in a public hospital The overall quality of care in public hospitals The availability of hospital beds in public hospitals Availability and speed of response of ambulances Availability of afterhours hospital medical help Access to mental health services Availability of aged care places Excellent Very good Fairly good Adequate Fairly poor Very poor
<6>	Very poor
<7>	Extremely poor
<8>	I have no idea at all

Question type: **Dyngrid** #row order: randomize [Varlabel - Views towards healthcare spending]

[ANMF_3] In your view, should spending on the following in South Australia be increased, decreased or remain at about the same level it is now?

-[ANMF_3_1] -[ANMF_3_2] -[ANMF_3_3]	Public health and hospitals Mental health Aged care
-[ANMF_3_4]	Education
-[ANMF_3_5]	Police
<1>	Substantially increased
<2>	Slightly increased
<3>	Remain as it is now
<4>	Slightly decreased
<5>	Substantially decreased
<6>	Don't know



Question type: **Dyngrid** #row order: randomize [Varlabel - Views towards statements]

[ANMF_4] Do you agree or disagree with the following?

-[ANMF_4_1] -[ANMF_4_2]	Mental health services in South Australia are generally well managed Mental health patients are not forced to wait too long to receive treatment in South Australia
-[ANMF 4 3]	Mental health patients are treated in a timely manner in an emergency
-[ANMF_4_4]	South Australia must invest more in preventive measures for mental health (i.e. community programmes and in-home support etc.)
-[ANMF_4_5]	South Australia must invest towards providing dedicated specialist mental
	health care (i.e. mental health urgent care centres and community-based
	treatment)
-[ANMF_4_6]	Mental health services should receive more funding to support South Australians facing difficulties from the COVID-19 pandemic
-[ANMF 4 7]	Mental health services would benefit from more private sector involvement
-[ANMF 4 8]	Mental health is something that is important to all South Australians
<1>	Strongly agree
<2>	Somewhat agree
<3>	Neither agree nor disagree
<4>	Somewhat disagree
<5>	Strongly disagree

Question type: **Single** #row order: reverse [Varlabel - Responsibility for public health]

[ANMF_5] In your view, who should have the greatest responsibility to ensure that public health where you live is delivered well?

<1>	The Federal Government
<2>	The State Government
<3>	Don't know



uestion type: **Dyngrid** #row order: randomize [Varlabel - Views towards statements 2]

[ANMF_6] Do you agree or disagree with the following?

-[ANMF_6_1] -[ANMF_6_2] -[ANMF_6_3]	Older Australians are generally well looked after in South Australia Aged care nursing homes in South Australia are generally well managed Aged care in South Australia is adequately funded
-[ANMF_6_4]	There is not enough transparency about how aged care providers spend taxpayers' money
-[ANMF_6_5]	Aged care providers should return government money if it is not spent on care
-[ANMF_6_6]	Aged care nursing homes should have a registered nurse on site 24/7
-[ANMF_6_7]	Each resident in an aged care nursing home should have a guaranteed minimum amount of time with a nurse every day
-[ANMF_6_8]	The government should set mandated minimum numbers of nurse to residents (ratios) in aged care homes
-[ANMF_6_9]	Pay and conditions for nurses and aged care workers should be improved
-[ANMF_6_10]	Aged care workers should have guaranteed rostered hours of work
<1>	Strongly agree
<2>	Somewhat agree
<3>	Neither agree nor disagree
<4>	Somewhat disagree
<5>	Strongly disagree

Question type: **Single** #row order: reverse [Varlabel - Views towards funding]

[ANMF_7] In the Federal Budget in May the Government announced an increase in funding for aged care of \$17.7 billion over the <u>next five years</u>.

What is your view on this increased level of funding?

<1>	It is too much
<2>	It is about right
<3>	It is too little
<4>	It is far too little
<5 fixed>	Don't know



Question type: **Single** #row order: reverse #Question display logic: **If [ANMF_7] - It is too much is selected Or If [ANMF_7] - It is about right is selected** [Varlabel - Views towards funding 2]

[ANMF_7new] It has been estimated by some economists that aged care in Australia will required an additional \$8-10 billion per year in order to implement all of the recent Aged Care Royal Commission's recommendations.

With this in mind, how you feel about the \$17.7 billion over five years of increased funding announced in the Budget?

<mark><1></mark>	<mark>It is too much</mark>
<mark><2></mark>	<mark>It is about right</mark>
<mark><3></mark>	<mark>It is too little</mark>
<mark><4></mark>	It is far too little
<5 fixed>	Don't know

Question type: **Open** #any #Question display logic: If [ANMF_7new] - It is too much is selected Or If [ANMF_7new] - It is about right is selected [if ANMF_7new == 1 or ANMF_7new == 2] [Varlabel - Views towards funding]

[ANMF_8] Why do you say that?



Question type: **Single** #row order: reverse [Varlabel - Views towards nurse ratios]

[ANMF_9] There are currently no minimum required nurse-resident ratios in aged care homes in Australia.

Do you support or oppose the federal government introducing mandated nurse to resident ratios in aged care homes?

Please select one option only.

<1>	Strongly support
<2>	Somewhat support
<3>	Somewhat oppose
<4>	Strongly oppose
<5 fixed>	Don't know

Question type: **Open** #any #Question display logic: **If [ANMF_9] - Somewhat oppose is selected Or If [ANMF_9] - Strongly oppose is selected [if ANMF_9 == 3 or ANMF_9 == 4]** [Varlabel - Reasons for not supporting nurse ratios]

[ANMF_10] You say you don't support this policy. Why is that?

Question type: Text

You will now watch a short 30 second video, please ensure you can see and hear the video now.

Afterwards you will be asked a few short questions about the video.



SPLIT SAMPLE

Question type: **Video** #Hide Survey Navigation Buttons: true

file name: ItsNotTooMuch.mp4

Question type: **Video** #Hide Survey Navigation Buttons: true

file name: ActionForHealth.mp4

Question type: **Grid** #row order: randomize #column order: reverse [Varlabel - Message testing Its Not Too Much]

[ANMF_C1a] Thinking about the video you just saw, how much do you agree or disagree with the following?

Please select one option per row

-[ANMF_C1a_1] It	is convincing
-[ANMF_C1a_2] It	catches my attention
-[ANMF_C1a_3] It	motivates me to investigate the issue further
-[ANMF_C1a_4] It	has a clear message
-[ANMF_C1a_5] It	is believable
-[ANMF_C1a_6] Th	he ad makes me more likely to think of this issue when I vote
<1> St	trongly agree
<2> So	omewhat agree
<3> No	either agree nor disagree
<4> So	omewhat disagree
<5> St	trongly disagree